

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/658,390	09/08/2000	Arthur J. Coury	FTI 126	3456	
23579	7590 02/11/2004		EXAMINER		
PATREA L. PABST HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP			WANG, SHENGJUN		
SUITE 2000, ONE ATLANTIC CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.			1617		
ATLANTA, O	GA 30309-3400		DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/658,	,390	COURY ET AL.	COURY ET AL.		
Office Action Summar	Examin	er	Art Unit			
		ın Wang	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	nmunication appears on t	he cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the second of the sec	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no established in the significant of the statutory period will apply and or reply will, by statute, cause the a conths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a re tatutory minimum of thirty d will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <u>03 November</u>	<u>2003</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .						
3) Since this application is in cond	·	•	· •	ls is		
closed in accordance with the p	oractice under <i>Ex par</i> te 0	Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-49</u> is/are pending ir	n the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s)		consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-49</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected						
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or election	requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to be	by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is.	/are: a) accepted or l	b)⊡ objected to b	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the drawing(s)) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu	uding the correction is requ	uired if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ed to by the Examiner. I	Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cl	laim for foreign priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None		-	. , , , , ,			
1. Certified copies of the price	ority documents have be	en received.				
2. Certified copies of the price	ority documents have be	en received in Ap	plication No			
Copies of the certified cop	pies of the priority docur	nents have been r	eceived in this National Stage	;		
application from the Intern	· ·	` ''				
* See the attached detailed Office a	action for a list of the cer	rtified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(e)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview C	ummanı (PTO 412)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi			ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

--- - - -

_ . ._

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of applicants' amendments and remarks submitted November 3, 2003 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 38-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zajaczkowski (US 5,726,250) in view of Hubbell (US 5,410,016, IDS) for reasons set forth in the prior office action.
- 3. Claims 38-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zajaczkowski (US 5,726,250) in view Jarrett et al. (WO 98/12243, IDS) for reasons set forth in the prior office action.

Response to the Arguments

Applicants' amendments and remarks submitted November 3, 2003 have been fully considered, but are not persuasive with respect to the rejections above for reasons discussed below.

4. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on

Application/Control Number: 09/658,390

Art Unit: 1617

combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

5. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the teaching and motivation is found both in the prior art and in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. Particularly, Zajaczkowski teaches a wound dressing composition comprising a copolymer which is composed of macromer and monomer. Hubbell et al. or Jarrett teaches bidegredable macromer that is particularly useful in wound dressing composition. It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the macromer for making the copolymer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571)272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Primary Examiner

Shengiun Wang

February 6, 2004